

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 27, 2001
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- S095992 Morgan Victor Manduley et al., Petitioners
4th Dist. v.
D036356 San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent
D036456 People, Real Party in Interest
Div. 1 And Consolidated Case
 The request for judicial notice filed by petitioner Michael Anthony Rose on July 19, 2001, and the request for judicial notice filed by the People on August 6, 2001, are granted.
- 4th Dist. People, Respondent
D035406 v.
Div. 1 Harvey Barry Jacobs, Defendant and Appellant
S101378 The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including January 10, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
- S014394 People, Respondent
 v.
 Fermin Rodriguez Ledesma, Appellant
 Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Karl S. Mayer's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by the last full week of May 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 22, 2002. After that date, only 2 further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted.
- S096524 Dan Esberg, Plaintiff and Appellant
 v.
 Union Oil Company of California, Defendant and Appellant
 Jeff Winston et al., Defendants and Respondents
 On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the appellant's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including February 8, 2002.

S097610 In re Allen Rose
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including December 24, 2001.

No further extensions are contemplated.

S097715 People, Appellant
 v.
 Russell Hubert Statum, Respondent

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including December 12, 2001.

S099172 In re Esteban Noe Chavez
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including December 26, 2001.

S100198 People, Respondent
 v.
 Marvin Lee Ashburn, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Peter J. Dodd is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S100360 People, Respondent
 v.
 Christopher Francisco Posey, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Randi Covin is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

6th Dist.
H022438

Lyons
v.
Park Place Group et al.

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

6th Dist.
H023714

People
v.
Fitzhugh

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

Bar
Misc.
4186

In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S082586

In re **Bruce Clinton Hill** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above entitled matter is lifted, and **Bruce Clinton Hill, State Bar No. 43427**, shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on August 27, 2001 (Business & Professions Code section 6007(d)(3)). It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30

and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.*

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S085417 In re **Jeffrey Brent Lugash** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above entitled matter is lifted, and **Jeffrey Brent Lugash, State Bar No. 41458**, shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for six months. If he is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on August 19, 2001 (Business & Professions Code section 6007(d)(3)). It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S085822 In re **John C. Pyle** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above entitled matter is lifted, and **John C. Pyle, State Bar No. 98212**, shall be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he takes and passes the Multistate Professional Responsibility

Examination and attends State Bar Ethics School and takes and passes the test given at the end of such session and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel; and until he makes restitution to Richard Lee Johnson by satisfying the judgment in the matter of *Johnson v. Pyle* (San Joaquin County Municipal Court, case no. LC 27140), including the return of unearned fees, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel; and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order filed on June 28, 2001, as modified by its order filed August 20, 2001. Respondent's period of actual suspension shall not exceed four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on July 1, 2001 (Business & Professions Code section 6007(d)(3)). Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S102110 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Renard George Laverne**
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Renard George Laverne, State Bar No. 73394**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)